

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,442	05/03/2001	Shigeki Kawakami	Q64139	5719
7590 03/25/2004			EXAMI	NER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			BAYAT, ALI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		A II AI AI	Analicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/847,442	KAWAKAMI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ali Bayat	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note that the statuter is the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on				
2a)		o)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the apda apda of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	·	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). hed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the pr	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in f the priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kohchi (U.S. Pub.No. 2002/0006220 A1).

In regard to claim 1 Kohchi provides for an input section for inputting page information (Fig.2 step 101, page 3 left col. Lines 45-46) having both image information including color data (Fig.2 step 102, page 3 right col. Lines 4-6) and character information including color data (Fig.2 step 106, page 3 right col. Lines 17-20);a color conversion section for practicing mutually different color conversions for both the image information (Fig.2 step 102, page 3 right col. Lines 4-6) and the character information (Fig.2 step 106, page 3 right col. Lines 17-20) of the entered page information ; and an output section for outputting page information which has both image information after the color conversion and character information after the color conversion (Fig.2 step 112, page 3 right col. Lines 51-62).

With regard to claim 2. See claim 1 above. It recites similar limitations as claim 1. Except for character information and image information to be stored in mutually different

Art Unit: 2625

files (Fig.3 step 206 page 8, right col. Lines 9-11), so that the image information and the character information, which are stored in mutually different files, are separately subjected to the color conversion (Fig.2 step112 page 3 right col. Lines 51-62). Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

With regard to claim 3. See claim 1 above. It recites similar limitations as claim 1. Except for data determination means determines the entered page information (Fig.14 step 602, page 8 right col. Lines 16-28), so that the determined image information or character information are sequentially subjected to the color conversion (Fig.14 steps 603-604, page 8 right col. Lines 16-28). Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

In regard to claim 5, Kohchi provides for color conversion section performs the color conversion for the gradation information in form of the character information (Fig.17 page 11 right col. Lines 8-19).

As to claim 9 Kohchi provides for the output section outputs page information in form of page information described in layout description information (Fig.2 step 112 page 3 right col. Lines 51-62) or in form of page information subjected to a raster development.

In regard to claim 10 see claim 1 above. It recites similar limitations as claim 1 above. Except for color conversion program and computer network (Fig.1 page 3 left col. Lines 1-7). Hence it is similarly analyzed and rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2625

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohchi (U.S. Pub.No. 2002/0006220 A1) in view of Taniuchi et al (U.S. 5,363,212).

In regard to claim 6, Kohchi provides for a color conversion (Fig.2 step 112 page 3 right col. Lines 51-62). But does not provide for selecting any one of a plurality of color conversion tables in accordance with an operation of a user. However in the same field of endeavor Taniuchi provides for selecting any one of a plurality of color conversion tables in accordance with an operation of a user (col.19 lines 44-52). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Taniuchi with the system and method of Kohchi. Because a color image recording apparatus having the function of being capable of specifying an editing area by drawing a marker dot at an arbitrarily selected position in a closed area within an image col.1 lines 7-11 of Taniuchi et al..

With regard to claims 7-8, Kohchi provides for a color conversion (Fig.2 step 112 page 3 right col. Lines 51-62). But does not expressly provide for three elements (RGB) color space data, and output section outputs page information including at least one color of an ink system of color data (CMYK). However in the same field of endeavor Taniuchi provides for three elements (RGB) color space data, and output section outputs page information including at least one color of an ink system of color data (CMYK), col.7 lines 45-53). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Taniuchi with the

Art Unit: 2625

system and method of Kohchi. Because a color image recording apparatus having the function of being capable of specifying an editing area by drawing a marker dot at an arbitrarily selected position in a closed area within an image col.1 lines 7-11 of Taniuchi et al..

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohchi (U.S. Pub.No. 2002/0006220 A1) in view of Yamada (U.S. 4,642,680).

With regard to claim 4, Kohchi provides for a color conversion (Fig.2 step 112 page 3 right col. Lines 51-62), but does not provide for the page information entered from said input section includes screen tint information, said color conversion section performs the color conversion for the screen tint information in form of the character information.). However in the same field of endeavor Yamada provided for a screen tint col.2 lines 38-45).). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Yamda with the system and method of Kohchi. Because one of the objects of this invention is to reduce data volume in order to permit a reduced memory capacity requirement, which leads to lower system cost col.2 lines 39-40 of Yamda.

Other Cited References

- 4. U.S. patent Pub.No. (US 2002/0012453 A1) to Hashimoto et al. is cited for control apparatus for a scanner/printer.
- U.S. patent Pub.No. (US 2001/0019427 A1) to Komtsu is cited for method and apparatus for processing images signal and computer readable recording medium recorded with program for causing computer to process image signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,442 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Bayat whose telephone number is 703-306-5915.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 9:00-7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 703-3085246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Bayat Abb Patent examiner Group Art Unit 2625 3/22/04

> FIMOTHY M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER